I			
1 2 3	GILBERT EISENBERG 400 Montgomery Street, Second Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Facsimile: (415) 433-3476 Telephone: (415) 2968734		
4	Attorney for Defendant		
5	·		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	No. CR 07-0734 MMC	
11	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND	
12	VS. ) HIGHAM VOLICEE MILCHACHA	STIPULATION CONTINUING COURT HEARING	
13	HISHAM YOUSEF MUSHASHA, )		
14	Defendant.		
15			
16	At the request of defendant, and with the agreement of the government, the Cour		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	The parties agree and the Court finds and holds as follows:		
22			
23	violations of 18 U.S.C. §1343-Wire Fraud;18 U.S.C. §981(a)(1)(c) & 28 U.S.C.§ 246(c)-		
24	Forfeiture. On or about December 5, 2007, the parties made their initial appearance before		
25	this Court and requested a further status conference date which was set for January 28, 2008		
26			
27 28	will continue to provide discovery to defense counsel, who in turn needs time to review those		
-	STIPULATION/ORDER CONTINUING HEARING, CR 07-734 MMC	-1-	

materials, meet with defendant and conduct further investigation, and thereby determine how

to proceed. Specifically, defense counsel needs time to obtain and review bank records from

the United Kingdom and Jordan which are very material to this case. The parties are engaged

in settlement discussions and both parties are vitally interested in these records for both

settlement and pre-trial preparation. The defendant can obtain these records more promptly

than the government and is in the process of doing so at this time. Defense counsel believes

that these records are important to the plea negotiations the are now in progress. In addition

there are individuals in the above mentioned countries and other countries in the Middle East

that defense needs to contact that are important to the defense making the most persuasive

presentation it can to the government in the ongoing negotiations and additional time is

needed by the defense to make these contacts. Accordingly, the extension and exclusion are

§3161 and that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best

interests of the public and of the defendant in a speedy trial and the prompt disposition of

criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The Court further finds that failure to grant the

continuance would deny counsel for all parties the time reasonably necessary for effective

preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence under 18 U.S.C.

date of March 5, 2008, at 2:30 p.m. before this court for a status conference in this matter and

hereby orders that the period from January 28 to March 5, 2008, be excluded under the

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and from the Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18

Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court sets a

3. The Court finds that there is good cause for the exclusion of time under 18 U.S.C.

required for effective preparation of defense counsel.

1

45

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

1415

13

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24 ||

25

26

2728

DATED: January 25, 2008

DATED: January 25, 2008

 $\S3161(h)(8)(B)(iv)$ .

SO STIPULATED:

 $\frac{/s}{GILI}$ 

GILBERT EISENBERG Attorney for Defendant

TRACIE BROWN

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATION/ORDER CONTINUING HEARING, CR 07-734 MMC

U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B) (ii) and (B)(iv).

-2-

1	SO ORDERED:
2	DATED: January 25, 2008  Making MACK ED CHESTEY
3	MAKINE MACKLER CHESTLEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION/ORDER CONTINUING HEARING, CR 07-734 MMC -3-